### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

### SHREVEPORT DIVISION

ISAAC PETERSON, JR. CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-1677-P

VERSUS JUDGE HICKS

WARDEN MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

#### REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

# STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the Court is a petition for writ of <u>habeas corpus</u> filed by <u>pro se</u> petitioner Isaac Peterson, Jr., pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This petition was received and filed in this Court on October 9, 2007. Petitioner is incarcerated in the Winn Correctional Center in Winnfield, Louisiana, and he names the Warden as respondent.

Petitioner was ordered on November 20, 2007 to file, within 30 days of the service of the order, documentary proof that he exhausted his available state court remedies and a response demonstrating his petition is timely. To date, Plaintiff has not responded to the memorandum order.

Accordingly;

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this petition be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power

to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82

S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

**OBJECTIONS** 

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b),

parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from service of this

Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court

unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond

to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof.

Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the

District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions

and recommendation set forth above, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy

shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the

proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court

and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79

F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

THUS DONE AND SIGNED, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this

31st day of January 2008.

MARK L. HORNSBY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Page 2 of 2